

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/500,746	02/09/2000	Robert J Winchester	57005-B/JPW/JSC 3022	
7590 10/27/2003			EXAMINER	
John P White			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
Cooper & Dunh	nam LLP			
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1644	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/500,746	WINCHESTER ET AL.		
, (a. 1. co.), 1. cu. cu.	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	orresp ndence address		
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application abandonent which the contract which are the contract which are the contract and contract are the contract and contract are the	ation. A proper reply to a		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF				
2. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ecause:			
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) 🔯 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 18 and 19.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-6,8-10,13	-15.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	— a)□ approved or b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen				
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
i l'	1 Cl ROI	NALD 8. SCHWADRON RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP:1880- (6 U.S		

. .

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/500,746

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed claim 18 raises new issues under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. Proposed claim 18 is indefinite in the recitation of "composition is indicated for treating rheumatoid arthritis" It is unclear what said phrase means or encompasses in the context recited in the claim. It is unclear whether this phrase means that the composition has a label that indicates that the composition is used for treating RA or instructions or how this "indicated " use is communicated. The proposed claim 18 also raises the issue of new matter because there is no support in the specification as originally filed for the recitation of "composition is indicated for treating rheumatoid arthritis".

_